

App. Ser. No. 10/723,754  
Supplemental Amendment dated May 15th, 2006

### REMARKS


In the September 6, 2005 Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 4-12, 14 and 20 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being anticipated by Ainsbury (U.S. Patent No. 6,078,924") and Conrad (U.S. Patent No. 6,633,834). The Examiner also rejected Claim 1 and 20 under 35 USC § 101. Applicants had amended Claims 1, 4 and 20 to further clarify the invention in a response filed on March 6, 2006 with a Request for Continued Examination.

TJ Singh, Applicants' attorney, had an interview with the Examiner on May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2006. Applicants thank the Examiner for the interview. Based on the interview, Applicants amend claims 1, 4 and 20 to expedite prosecution and to get this application in a condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 4-12, 14, and 20 are now pending of which Claims 1, 4, and 20 are independent claims. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the patentability of the claims of the present application in view of the amendments.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding the application, the Examiner is invited to call TJ Singh at (949)-955-1920.

Dated: May 15, 2006



Howard J. Klein, # Reg. No. 28,727  
Klein, O'Neill & Singh, LLP  
2 Park Plaza, Suite 510  
Irvine, CA 92614  
Tel: 949-955-1920  
Fax: 949-955-1921

App. Ser. No. 10/723,754  
Supplemental Amendment dated May 15th, 2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to the USPTO, fax number 571 273 8300 on May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2006.

  
Signature

Date of Signature: May 15, 2006

Howard J. Klein